

EXETER COLLEGE

Complaints Procedure for use by Student Members

Introduction

1. Exeter College fosters a sense of community in which the rights and dignity of all its staff and students are respected. It is grateful for feedback from its members and it takes seriously complaints about any aspect of its operation. Wherever possible, the College endeavours to resolve complaints promptly and locally.
2. The Complaints Procedure outlined below is intended to be followed by current students, and former students (in the case of former students, complaints and appeals should normally be made within three months of being granted Leave to Supplicate or otherwise completing their studies), in cases of disagreement with regard to:
 - Domestic matters (e.g. accommodation and services, including catering);
 - Financial matters (e.g. battles for fees and domestic charges, student support);
 - Academic matters (e.g. award of prizes, tutorial arrangements).
 - Welfare matters (e.g. provision of welfare services)
3. Typically, complaints will refer to isolated incidents and the provision of services by the College. This policy does not apply to reported breaches of the student disciplinary code, to complaints about harassment (e.g. bullying, victimisation, racial or sexual harassment: for the College's harassment policy see the *Green Guide*), or to reported criminal offences.
4. This policy does not apply to appeals against the outcome of a general disciplinary procedure or an academic disciplinary procedure: these have their own procedures set out in the *Red Book*.
5. The majority of cases will normally be settled by the complainant resolving their grievance directly with the relevant tutor, college staff member or departmental manager. Where this has been attempted and resolution is not possible, complainants can adopt an informal procedure, but a formal procedure is also available where a complainant wishes to register a written grievance. Following the end of the formal procedure, the complainant, or the subject of a complaint, may apply for a review of the decision by the Rector. Details on each of these three procedures are set out below.
6. Anonymous complainants or complaints made on behalf of someone else will not be considered, unless there are compelling reasons for doing so. Such reasons should be clearly stated.
7. Disciplinary action may be taken against anyone who victimises or retaliates against a student who brings a complaint in good faith, and against any student who brings malicious or vexatious complaints.

Informal Procedure

8. Under the informal procedure, the student member is asked to raise their complaint with the relevant College Officer:
 - for academic matters: the Academic Dean

- for issues involving domestic College staff and services e.g. food and accommodation and related financial matters; security; reception services (Turl Street Porters' Lodge / Cohen Quad Front Desk); maintenance: the Domestic Bursar
- for financial and computing matters: the Finance & Estates Bursar
- for matters pertaining to the Development and Alumni relations Office: the Director of Development & Alumni Relations
- other behavioural and general disciplinary matters: the Sub-Rector
- for matters pertaining to the Library: the Librarian
- for matters pertaining to sports facilities: the Treasurer of the Amalgamated Clubs
- for welfare matters: the Welfare Dean
- for matters pertaining to the Chapel: the Chaplain
- for matters relating to provision for disabled students: the Disability Lead
- for matters relating to the College's implementation of "Prevent" legislation, including the application of its external speakers policy: the Prevent Lead
- for complaints about a College Officer, including the Rector: the Sub-Rector, or the Welfare Dean if the complaint is about the Sub-Rector

9. In case of doubt about the appropriate College Officer the Sub-Rector will advise.
10. This informal procedure is intended to encourage discussion and understanding of the problem, and may lead to its resolution without submission of a formal complaint. The complainant can be accompanied at any stage of the informal procedure by another member of College.
11. To resolve the complaint, the relevant Officer will normally arrange a meeting with the complainant and:
 - seek to offer helpful and confidential advice
 - try to find a remedy, or a reconciliation (in cases where relations have broken down between individuals, and the complainant does not object to this course);
 - note the withdrawal of a complaint where the complainant decides to do so;
 - record the outcome in a written response to the complainant.

Formal Procedure

12. All formal complaints must be made in writing to the Sub-Rector, or the Finance and Estates Bursar if the complaint is about the Sub-Rector.¹ The formal procedure will normally be used only when the informal procedure has not achieved a resolution. In cases where the complainant has adopted the formal procedure immediately, it will be open to the Sub-Rector to refer the complainant back to the informal procedure.
13. Formal complaints will not normally be considered more than three months after the occasion of the complaint, or in cases when the matter is being addressed under another College procedure. A complainant seeking to bring a complaint outside the normal three-month time period must include with their formal complaint the reason(s) for the delay.
14. A formal complaint should include:

¹ If a complaint is made about the Sub-Rector, then the role given to the Sub-Rector in all of the following elements of the formal procedure will be played by the Finance and Estates Bursar.

- An account of what has given rise to the complaint, together with dates and times, and names of those involved (if known).
 - The details and outcome of any part of the informal procedure already pursued by the complainant, or an explanation of why the informal procedure was not pursued in this case.
15. Within ten working days of receiving the formal complaint, the Sub-Rector will inform the complainant whether or not the complaint is being taken forward, and will provide an explanation if not. If the complaint is taken forward, any subject of the complaint will be entitled to receive a copy of it.
16. If a formal complaint is taken forward, the Sub-Rector will appoint an *ad hoc* committee of three members of the Governing Body, not including the student's tutor(s), college adviser, or any fellow previously involved in the procedure, to hear the complaint. The Sub-Rector, unless they have already been involved in the case, will normally serve on the committee as one of its three members. All those appointed will be bound by the requirements of confidentiality.
17. The committee's investigation of the complaint will be guided throughout by the principles of fairness and proportionality.
18. The committee will have the power to investigate the complaint, and to request relevant information from any member of the College likely to have it. The committee may question any evidence provided to it.
19. Both the complainant and any subject of the complaint will be entitled to make representations to the committee. The format of those representations will be decided by the committee.
20. The committee may invite the complainant, or the subject of the complaint, to a meeting. The complainant, and any subject of the complaint, may request such a meeting with the committee; whether such a request is granted is a matter for the committee to determine (subject to the requirements of the following paragraph).
21. If the committee meets with the complainant, any subject of the complaint will also be entitled to a meeting, should they want it; likewise, if the committee meets with the subject of the complaint, the complainant will also be entitled to a meeting, should they want it. At least ten working days' notice of any such meeting will be given.
22. The format and procedure of any meeting held by the committee will be determined by the committee itself.
23. Both the complainant and any individual named as subject of the complaint may be accompanied by another member of College (or, in the case of the subject of the complaint, by a trade union representative) to any meeting convened by the committee to which they are invited.
24. There will not normally be any right to representation, either for the complainant or for any subject of the complaint. Application for exceptions to this rule must be made in writing to the committee; if an exception is granted, it will apply both to the complainant and to any subject of the complaint.

25. The committee will normally reach a decision on the complaint not more than thirty days after receiving it. By the same date the committee will write a report stating and explaining their conclusion.
26. If a complaint is upheld (wholly or partially), the committee may require appropriate redress or restitution. Whether or not the complaint is upheld, the committee may recommend appropriate changes to College policy as it feels is necessary.
27. A copy of the committee's report will be given to the complainant and to any subject of the complaint. If no request for review of the decision is made within 10 working days, a copy will be given to the appropriate College Officer or Head of Department (as determined by the committee). The College Officer or Head of Department receiving the report will carry out any appropriate restitution or redress required by the committee, and consider any policy recommendations.

Review Procedure

28. In the event that a complainant, or the subject of a complaint, is unhappy with the decision of the committee, they may apply for a review. Such application must be made in writing to the Rector within 10 working days of the decision of the committee being communicated to them. The application should set out clearly the reasons why a review is being applied for, taking into consideration the scope of the review procedure (next paragraph).
29. The review will be undertaken by the Rector, who may take advice. If the complaint is about the Rector, the review will be undertaken by the most senior available fellow who has not already been involved in the case.² Application for review of an outcome of the formal procedure may be made only for one or more of the following reasons:
 - The formal procedure was not correctly followed
 - The decision of the committee was perverse in the light of the facts
 - Relevant new evidence has come to light, which could not reasonably have been produced during the formal procedure, and which is likely to have had a material effect on the decision of the committee.
30. If the Rector determines that the application for review fits within the scope outlined in the previous paragraph, and is timely, it will be considered. If an application for review made by the complainant is considered by the Rector, any subject of the complaint will be entitled to see the application, as well as any new evidence, and to respond in writing to the Rector. If an application for review made by the subject of the complaint is considered by the Rector, the complainant will be entitled to see the application, as well as any new evidence, and to respond in writing to the Rector.
31. As part of the review, the Rector may question and seek evidence from the committee which considered the formal complaint, from the complainant, and from any subject of the complaint. The Rector may also investigate any new evidence that is brought to light.

² If a review is undertaken into a decision of a committee concerning a complaint made about the Rector, then the role given to the Rector in all of the following elements of the review procedure will be played by the most senior available fellow not previously involved in the case.

32. The review will be completed no more than 30 days after the request for review was made, and its results, together with a written explanation, communicated to the committee, the complainant, and any subject of the complaint.
33. The outcome of the review may be either to uphold (wholly or partially) the decision of the committee, to overturn (wholly or partially) the decision of the committee, or to refer the matter back to the committee for reconsideration.

Office of the Independent Adjudicator

34. If a complaint remains unresolved after the College's internal procedures have been exhausted a student may ask for the complaint to be reviewed by the Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education (OIAHE; see www.oyahe.org.uk for further information). Application forms and guidance notes are available from Exeter College's Academic Office. Please note that complaints relating to student discipline and academic matters are also within the scope of the OIAHE. However, complaints relating to matters of academic judgement (i.e. about academic performance) or admissions cannot be dealt with by the OIAHE.

Monitoring Arrangements

35. The College Secretary, on behalf of the Rector, will keep a register of the number of complaints made using the formal procedure in an academic year, and a summary of numbers and outcomes will be collected submitted to the Governing Body via the Education, Research, and Welfare Committee, along with recommendations for any action required in response to the issues identified in complaints. The register will indicate how many formal complaints have been registered, what stage they reached, and whether or not they were upheld.